

Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology 20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606-3182 312.704.5300 www.jrcert.org

## REPORT OF SITE VISIT TEAM FINDINGS CHECKLIST MEDICAL DOSIMETRY

Name of Program	
City/State	
Date(s) Visited	
Clinical Education Setting(s) Visited  Include city and state	
Name of Team Chair	
Name of Team Member(s)	

Confidentiality Statement was read to institution and program officials.

Quality Statement was read to institution and program officials.

Exit Statement was read to institution and program officials.

The Report of Site Visit Team Findings Checklist is based on the Joint Review committee on Education in Radiologic Technology (JRCERT) **Standards for an Accredited Educational Program in Medical Dosimetry**, adopted April 2020 and implemented January 1, 2021.

The Report of Site Visit Team Findings Checklist has been designed by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology (JRCERT) to assure consistency in the evaluation of medical dosimetry programs. The checklist provides assurance that each site visit team is substantiating compliance with each standard by reviewing specific, pertinent information. Utilize this instrument in conjunction with the electronic Report of Site Visit Team Findings (RSVTF) available through the JRCERT Accreditation Management System (AMS)

The primary functions of the site visit team are to verify information, to assess program outcomes, and to report findings. Again, this is accomplished, in part, through the completion of the checklist; however, in no way does the checklist supersede the actual RSVTF. The JRCERT is responsible for determining the extent and degree of program compliance with the JRCERT **Standards**.

The completed checklist should be returned electronically to the IRCERT no later than one week post onsite evaluation.

## **Report of Site Visit Team Findings Checklist**

## Medical Dosimetry - 2021 Standards

Standard One:		
Accountability, Fair Practices,	and Public	Information

Standard One: The sponsoring institution and program promote accountability and fair

practices in relation to students, faculty, and the public. Policies and procedures of the sponsoring institution and program must support the rights of students

and faculty, be well defined, written, and readily available.

Please indicate evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets each of the	he
objectives of Standard One (Check all that apply).	

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Reviewed institutional and program website
	Reviewed published program policies, procedures and/or relevant information related to the following:
	$\square$ admission and transfer of credit policies;
	$\square$ tuition, fees, and refunds;
	$\square$ graduation requirements;
	$\square$ grading system;
	$\square$ program mission statement, goals, and student learning outcomes;
	$\square$ accreditation status;
	articulation agreement(s);
	academic calendar;
	☐ clinical obligations;
_	grievance policy and/or procedures.
	Reviewed institutional and program materials.
	Reviewed program's website for the most recent:
	$\square$ five-year average credentialing examination pass rate data
	$\square$ five-year average job placement rate data
	$\square$ annual program completion rate data
	Reviewed student handbook
	Reviewed student records
	Reviewed formal grievance(s) record(s), if applicable
	Reviewed employee/faculty handbook
	Reviewed employee/faculty application form
	Reviewed institutional catalog
	Reviewed student academic and clinical records, including radiation monitoring reports
	Toured program offices
	Toured clinical setting(s)
	Interviewed institutional administration
	Interviewed faculty
П	Interviewed staff

Interviewed students
Interviewed admissions personnel, as appropriate
Interviewed clerical staff, if applicable
Interviewed clinical preceptor(s)
Interviewed clinical staff
identify any other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program each of the objectives of Standard One.
I.
2.
3.
4.
5.

Insti	Institutional Commitment and Resources		
Star	ndard Two:	The sponsoring institution demonstrates a sound financial commitment to the program by assuring sufficient academic, fiscal, personnel and physical resources to achieve the program's mission.	
		idence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets each of the dard Two (Check all that apply).	
	Reviewed or	ganizational charts of institution and program	
	Reviewed pu	blished program materials (i.e. appropriate administrative support, budget and expenditure	
		sonal counseling, disability services, financial aid, other student resources) eeting minutes	
		arning resources	
	Reviewed su	-	
	Reviewed re	•	
	Toured the	classroom, laboratories, and faculty offices	
	Toured learr	ning facilities	
	Interviewed	institutional administration	
	Interviewed	faculty	
	Interviewed	students	
	Interviewed	clerical staff, if applicable	
		other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program objectives of Standard Two.	
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		

Standard Two:

Stan	dard Three:		
Facul	Faculty and Staff		
Stan	dard Three:	The sponsoring institution provides the program adequate and qualified faculty that enable it to meet its mission and promote student learning.	
	Please indicate evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets each of the objectives of Standard Three (Check all that apply).		
	Reviewed inst	itutional policies for faculty workload and release time	
	Reviewed facu	ılty position descriptions, if applicable	
	Reviewed clin	ical settings	
		epresentative sample of program faculty's and clinical preceptors' current MDCB certification documentation	
	•	ition descriptions	
	Reviewed han	dbooks	
	-	gram evaluation materials	
	Reviewed facu	ılty evaluation(s)	
		ical preceptor evaluation(s), if applicable	
	Reviewed inst	itutional and/or program policies for professional development	
	Interviewed in	nstitutional administration	
	Interviewed fa	culty	
	Interviewed st	tudents	
	Interviewed cl	linical preceptor(s)	
	Interviewed w	rith clinical staff	
		other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program objectives of Standard Three.	
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
	J.		

## Standard Four: Curriculum and Academic Practices

Interviewed clinical staff

Standard Four: The program's curriculum and academic practices prepare students for professional practice.

	e indicate evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets each of the tives of Standard Four (Check all that apply).
	Reviewed published program materials (i.e., mission statement, clinical education plan, terminal award, clock
_	and/or credit hours, distance education)
	Reviewed meeting minutes (i.e., review of mission statement)
	Reviewed didactic and clinical curriculum sequence
	Reviewed input from communities of interest
	Reviewed part-time, evening and/or weekend curricular track(s), if applicable
	Reviewed course syllabi
	Reviewed JRCERT database
	Reviewed clinical records
	Reviewed clinical placement process
	Reviewed course objectives
	Reviewed student clinical assignment schedules
	Reviewed clinical orientation process
	Reviewed student records
	Reviewed clinical rotation schedules, if applicable
	Reviewed course catalog
	Reviewed class schedules
	Reviewed the process of student identification (i.e. distance education)
	Observed a portion of any course offered via distance delivery
	Interviewed institutional administration
	Interviewed faculty
	Interviewed students
	Interviewed clinical preceptors

Please identify any other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program mee	ts
each of the objectives of Standard Four.	

- I.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Standard Five:	
Health and Safety	
Standard Five: The sponsoring institution and program have policies and procedures promote the health, safety and optimal use of radiation for students, and the public.	
Please indicate evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets objectives of Standard Five (Check all that apply).	each of the
Reviewed published program materials (i.e. pregnancy policy, supervision policy, institutional safe	ety policies)
☐ Reviewed student records	
☐ Reviewed student radiation exposure reports	
☐ Reviewed compliance records	
☐ Reviewed program curriculum	
☐ Reviewed radiation safety policies/procedures	
Reviewed magnetic resonance safe practice and/or screening protocol	
☐ Reviewed student handbook	
Reviewed student dosimetry reports	
Reviewed approved dose calculations and treatment plans	
Reviewed meeting minutes (i.e., supervision policy for dose calculations and treatment plans)	
☐ Interviewed faculty	
☐ Interviewed clinical preceptor(s)	
☐ Interviewed clinical staff	
☐ Interviewed students	
Please identify any other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the progreets each of the objectives of Standard Five.	am
I.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Programmatic Effectiveness and Assessment: Using Data for Sustained Improvement		
Stan	dard Six:	The extent of a program's effectiveness is linked to the ability to meet its mission, goals, and student learning outcomes. A systematic, ongoing assessment process provides credible evidence that enables analysis and critical discussions to foster ongoing program improvement.
		idence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program meets each of the dard Six (Check all that apply).
	Reviewed pr	ogram effectiveness data
	Reviewed ag	gregated/disaggregated data
	Reviewed da	ta analysis and actions taken
	Reviewed do	cumentation that demonstrates the sharing of results with communities of interest
	Reviewed re	presentative samples of measurement tools used for data collection
	Reviewed as	sessment plan (i.e. student learning outcome data, trends)
	Reviewed as	sessment methods
	Reviewed do	ocumentation related to the assessment process reevaluation
	Reviewed cu	rriculum mapping documentation, if applicable
	Interviewed	faculty
	Interviewed	institutional assessment coordinator, if applicable
		other evidence the site visit team reviewed to assess whether the program objectives of Standard Six.
	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	

**Standard Six:**